Elementary Particle Physics Seminar, University of Oxford

Neutrino Telescopy in the Deep Sea

Uli Katz
Univ. Erlangen

05.06.2007

- Introduction
- Physics with Neutrino Telescopes
- ANTARES and Other Current Projects
- Aiming at a km^3 Detector in the Mediterranean Sea: KM3NeT
- Conclusions and Outlook

The Mysterious Cosmic Rays



- Particles impinging on Earth from outer space carry energies up to 10^{21} eV (the kinetic energy of a tennis ball at ~200km/h.)
- The acceleration mechanisms are unknown.
- Cosmic rays carry a significant fraction of the energy of the universe – cosmologically relevant!
- Neutrinos play a key role in studying the origin of cosmic rays.

Neutrino Production Mechanism

- Neutrinos are expected to be produced in the interaction of high energy nucleons with matter or radiation:

$$N + X \to \pi^{\pm}(K^{\pm}\ldots) + Y \to \mu^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}) + Y$$

Cosmic rays

$$\downarrow$$

$$e^{\pm} + \bar{\nu}_e(\nu_e) + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}(\nu_{\mu})$$

- Simultaneously, gamma production takes place:

$$N + X \to \pi^{0} + Y \to \gamma\,\gamma + Y$$

Cosmic rays

- Cosmic ray acceleration yields neutrinos and gammas!
- … but gammas also from purely leptonic processes



Particle Propagation in the Universe



Photons: absorbed on dust and radiation;
Protons/nuclei: deviated by magnetic fields, reactions with radiation (CMB)

The Principle of Neutrino Telescopes

Role of the Earth:
- Screening against all particles except neutrinos.
- Atmosphere = target for production of secondary neutrinos.

Cherenkov light:
- In water: $\theta_C \approx 43°$
- Spectral range used: ~ 350-500nm.



Angular resolution in water:
- Better than ~0.3° for neutrino energy above ~10 TeV, 0.1° at 100 TeV
- Dominated by angle(ν,μ) below ~10 TeV (~0.6° at 1 TeV)

Neutrino Interaction Signatures

- Neutrinos mainly from π-μ-e decays, roughly $\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau = 1 : 2 : 0$;
- Arrival at Earth after oscillations: $\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau \approx 1 : 1 : 1$;
- Key signature: muon tracks **from ν_μ charged current reactions** (few 100m to several km long);
- Electromagnetic/hadronic showers: “point sources” of Cherenkov light.







Muon Reconstruction

- The Cherenkov light is registered by the photomultipliers with nanosecond precision.
- From time and position of the hits the direction of the muon can be reconstructed to ~0.1°.
- Minimum requirement: 5 hits … in reality rather 10 hits.
- Position calibration to ~10cm required (acoustic methods).



1.2 TeV muon traversing the detector.

Muons: The Background from Above

Muons can penetrate several km of water if E_μ > 1TeV;

Identification of cosmic ν's from above: needs showers or very high energies.





Neutrinos from Astrophysical Point Sources

- Association of neutrinos to specific astrophysical objects.
- Energy spectrum, time structure, multi-messenger observations provide insight into physical processes inside source.
- Measurements profit from very good angular resolution of water Cherenkov telescopes.
- km³ detectors needed to exploit the potential of neutrino astronomy.



Southern Sky

Northern Sky

Sky Coverage of Neutrino Telescopes

Observed sky region in galactic coordinates assuming efficiency for downward hemisphere.



→ We need Northern ν telescopes to cover the Galactic Plane

Example candidate accelerators: Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs)



AGNs are amongst the most energetic phenomena in the universe.

Do AMANDA/IceCube see Point Sources?



High-energy γ sources in the Galactic Disk



W. Hofmann, ICRC 2005

Update June 2006:

- 6 γ sources could be/are associated with SNR, e.g. RX J1713.7-3946;
- 9 are pulsar wind nebulae, typically displaced from the pulsar;
- 2 binary systems (1 H.E.S.S. / 1 MAGIC);
- 6 have no known counterparts.

Example: ν's from Supernova Remnants



- Acceleration beyond 100 TeV.
- Power-law energy spectrum, index ~2.1–2.2.

- Spectrum points to hadron acceleration → ν flux ~ γ flux
- Typical ν energies: few TeV

Precise ν Flux Predictions from γ ray Measurements

A.Kappes et al., astro-ph 0607286



- 1 σ error bands include systematic errors (20% norm., 10% index & cut-off)

Indirect Search for Dark Matter

- WIMPs can be gravitationally trapped in Earth, Sun or Galactic Center;
- Neutrino production by

$$\chi\chi \rightarrow \nu + X$$

- Detection requires low energy threshold.
- Example: ν flux (E > 10GeV) from Sun in scan of mSugra parameter space
  [m_0 < 8TeV, $m_{1/2}$ < 2TeV, sign(μ)=+, $|A_0|$ < 3m0, 0 < tan(β) < 60]



Dark Matter sensitivity estimates for KM3NeT



- Blue: Excludable only by KM3NeT (3 years, 90%C.L.)
- Black: Excludable only by CDMS-2007
- Green: Excludable by both
- Red: Not excludable

Diffuse ν Flux: Models, Limits and Sensitivities

- At $E_\nu \approx 100$ TeV the Earth starts to be opaque for ν's → required to look upwards;
- Upward shielding important;
- GRBs & other transients: Time correlations can improve sensitivity significantly.



Astro- and Particle Physics with ν Telescopes

Low-energy limit:
- detector sensitivity
- background

High-energy limit:
- neutrino flux decreases like E^{-n} ($n \approx 2$)
- large detection volume needed.



The Neutrino Telescope World Map

ANTARES: Detector Design

- **String-based detector;**
- **Underwater connections by deep-sea submersible;**
- **Downward-looking photomultipliers (PMs), axis at 45° to vertical;**
- **2500 m deep.**



ANTARES: Detector Strings

- Buoy:
  - buoyancy ~6400 N;
  - keeps string vertical to better than 20m displacement at top.
- Electro-optical-mechanical cable:
  - metal wires for power supply etc.;
  - optical fibers for data;
  - mechanical backbone of string.
- Storeys:
  - 3 optical modules per storey;
  - titanium cylinder for electronics;
  - calibration devices (light, acoustics).
- Anchor:
  - deadweight to keep string at bottom;
  - release mechanism operated by acoustic signal from surface.



ANTARES: Components of a Storey

Optical Module





LED Beacon
for time calibration purposes

Titanium cylinder
housing electronics for readout, calibration, …



Hydrophone (RX)
for positioning

ANTARES: Optical Modules

- Photomultipliers:
  - transfer time spread ~2.7ns (FWHM);
  - quantum efficiency >20% for 330 nm < λ < 460nm;
- Glass spheres:
  - qualified for 600 bar;

Hamamatsu 10´´ PM

43 cm

ANTARES Construction Milestones



2001 – 2003:

- Main Electro-optical cable in 2001
- Junction Box in 2002
- Prototype Sector Line (PSL) &
  Mini Instrumentation Line (MIL) in 2003

2005 – Now:

- Mini Instrumentation Line with OMs (MILOM) running since 12 April 2005
- Lines 1-5 running (connected between March 2006 and Jan. 2007)
- Lines 6+7 deployed March/April 2007

2007+:

- Deployment / connection of remaining 5(7) lines
- Replacement of MILOM by full instrumentation line (IL)
- **Physics with full detector !**

ANTARES: First Detector line installed …

14. Feb. 2006

… and connected by ROV Victor!





2. March 2006

(ROV = Remotely operated submersible)

ANTARES: Data from 2500m Depth (MILOM)





- Background light:
  - bioluminescence (bacteria, macroscopic organisms)
  - decays of ^{40}K (~30 kHz for 10'' photomultiplier)
- Correlation with water current
  - Light bursts by macroscopic organisms – induced by pressure variation in turbulent flow around optical modules ?!

Burst-fraction:
fraction of time when rate > baseline + 20%

ANTARES: Coincidence rates from ^{40}K decays





Simulation: 12 Hz ± 4 Hz (sys)







ANTARES: Time Calibration with LED Beacons



Line 1



all timing measurements in good agreement with expectations

~150 m

MILOM



~70 m

ANTARES: First Atmospheric Muons …

χ^2 minimisation to find zenith angle of track





… and Events with 5 Lines!





NESTOR: Rigid Structures Forming Towers

- Tower based detector (titanium structures).
- Dry connections (recover − connect − redeploy).
- Up- and downward looking PMs (15’’).
- 4000 m deep.
- Test floor (reduced size) deployed & operated in 2003.
- Deployment of 4 floors planned in 2007

Plan: Tower(s) with12 floors
→ 32 m diameter
→ 30 m between floors
→ 144 PMs per tower

NESTOR: Data from the Deep Sea



- Background baseline rate of 45-50 kHz per PM
- Bioluminescence bursts correlated with water current, on average 1.1% of the time.



- Trigger rates agree with simulation including background light.
- For 5-fold and higher coincidences, the trigger rate is dominated by atmospheric muons.

NESTOR: Measurement of the Muon Flux



Atmospheric muon flux determination and parameterisation by

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega \cdot dt \cdot ds} = I_0 \cdot \cos^{\alpha}\theta$$

α = 4.7 ± 0.5(stat.) ± 0.2(syst.)
I_0 = 9.0 ± 0.7(stat.) ± 0.4(syst.) x 10^{-9} cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}

Results agree nicely with previous measurements and with simulations.

The NEMO Project

- Extensive site exploration (Capo Passero near Catania, depth 3500 m);
- R&D towards km³: architecture, mechanical structures, readout, electronics, cables ...;
- Simulation.



Example: Flexible tower

- 16 arms per tower, 20 m arm length, arms 40 m apart;
- 64 PMs per tower;
- Underwater connections;
- Up- and downward-looking PMs.

NEMO Phase I: Current Status



NEMO Phase-1: Next Steps

Deployed January 2005









How to Design a km³ Deep-Sea ν Telescope



scale up

dilute

new design

Existing telescopes "times 30" ?

- Too expensive
- Too complicated
  (production, maintenance)
- Not scalable
  (readout bandwidth, power, ...)

Large volume with same number of PMs?

- PM distance:
  given by absorption length in water (~60 m) and PM properties
- Efficiency loss for larger spacing

R&D needed:

- Cost-effective solutions
  to reduce price/volume by factor ~2
- Stability
  goal: maintenance-free detector
- Fast installation
  time for construction & deployment less than detector life time
- Improved components

KM3NeT Design Study: The last years

Design Study for a Deep-Sea Facility in the Mediterranean for Neutrino Astronomy and Associated Sciences

- Initial initiative Sept. 2002.
- VLVνT Workshop, Amsterdam, Oct. 2003.
- ApPEC review, Nov. 2003.
- Inclusion of marine science/technology institutes (Jan. 2004).
- Proposal submitted to EU 04.03.2004.
- Confirmation that Design Study will be funded (Sept. 2004).
- KM3NeT on ESFRI list of Opportunities, March 2005.
- 2nd VLVνT Workshop, Catania, 08-11.11.2005.
- Design Study contract signed, Jan. 2006 (9 M€ from EU, ~20 M€ overall).
- Start of Design Study project, 01.02.2006.
- Kick-off meeting, Erlangen, April 2006.
- KM3NeT on ESFRI Roadmap, Sept. 2006
- First annual meeting, Pylos, April 2007

And: Essential progress of ANTARES, NEMO and NESTOR in this period!

KM3NeT Design Study: Participants

- Cyprus: Univ. Cyprus
- France: CEA/Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3 (CPP Marseille, IreS Strasbourg, APC Paris-7), Univ. Mulhouse/GRPHE, IFREMER
- Germany: Univ. Erlangen, Univ. Kiel
- Greece: HCMR, Hellenic Open Univ., NCSR Demokritos, NOA/Nestor, Univ. Athens
- Ireland: Dublin Institute of Advanced Studies (since 1.Nov.2006)
- Italy: CNR/ISMAR, INFN (Univs. Bari, Bologna, Catania, Genova, Napoli, Pisa, Roma-1, LNS Catania, LNF Frascati), INGV, Tecnomare SpA
- Netherlands: NIKHEF/FOM (incl. Univ. Amsterdam, Univ. Utrecht, KVI Groningen)
- Romania: ISS Bucharest (since 1.June 2007)
- Spain: IFIC/CSIC Valencia, Univ. Valencia, UP Valencia
- UK: Univ. Aberdeen, Univ. Leeds, Univ. Liverpool, Univ. Sheffield

Particle/Astroparticle institutes (29+1) – Sea science/technology institutes (7) – Coordinator

The KM3NeT Design Study work packages

- WP1: Management of the Design Study
- WP2: Physics analysis and simulation
- WP3: System and product engineering
- WP4: Information technology
- WP5: Shore and deep-sea infrastructure
- WP6: Sea surface infrastructure
- WP7: Risk assessment and quality assurance
- WP8: Resource exploration
- WP9: Associated sciences

The KM3NeT Vision

- KM3NeT will be a multidisciplinary research infrastructure:
  - Data will be publicly available;
  - Implementation of specific online filter algorithms will yield particular sensitivity in predefined directions
    → non-KM3NeT members can apply for observation time;
  - Data will be buffered to respond to GRB alerts etc.
  - Deep-sea access for marine sciences.
- KM3NeT will be a pan-European project
  - 8+2 European countries involved in Design Study;
  - Substantial funding already now from national agencies.
- KM3NeT will be constructed in time to take data concurrently with IceCube.
- KM3NeT will be extendable.

Target price tag: 200 M€/km^3 or less

Some Key Questions

All these questions are highly interconnected !

- Which architecture to use?
  (strings vs. towers vs. new design)
- How to get the data to shore?
  (optical vs. electric, electronics off-shore or on-shore)
- How to calibrate the detector?
  (separate calibration and detection units?)
- Design of photo-detection units?
  (large vs. several small PMs, directionality, ...)
- Deployment technology?
  (dry vs. wet by ROV/AUV vs. wet from surface)
- And finally: The site question

Detector Architecture

(D. Zaborov at VLVνT)



Sea Operations

- Rigid towers or flexible strings?
- Connection in air (no ROVs) or wet mateable connectors?
- Deployment from platform or boat?



Photo Detection: New ideas …

- Idea: Use multiple small (3-inch) photomultipliers in one glass sphere
- Improves signal-to-noise ratio
- Improves single-to-multiple photo-electron separation
- Increases photocathode area and possibly quantum efficiency
- But: cost and readout issues need to be studied.

Associated Sciences Node



M. Priede, Sept. 2005

KM3NeT: Path to Completion

Time schedule (partly speculative & optimistic):

01.02.2006	Start of Design Study
Fall 2007	Conceptual Design Report
February 2009	Technical Design Report
2008-2010	Preparatory Phase in FP7
2010-2012	Construction
2011-20xx	Data taking

Proposal submitted on May 2, 2007

Next Step: The Preparatory Phase Project

- Top-down call, restricted to ESFRI projects
- Objective: Pave the way to construction of ESFRI RIs
  - Political and financial convergence
  - Legal / governance structure
  - Strategic preparation (centers of excellence, user needs, data dissemination etc.)
  - Technical work (production preparation)
- Financial framework:
  - 135 M€ for 30-35 projects → ~4 M€ / project on average
  - KM3NeT proposal: ~6.8 M€

Conclusions and Outlook

- Compelling scientific arguments for neutrino astronomy and the construction of large neutrino telescopes.
- The Mediterranean-Sea neutrino telescope projects ANTARES, NESTOR and NEMO are under construction / taking data and promise exciting results.
- It is essential to complement IceCube with a km^3-scale detector in the Northern Hemisphere.
  - An EU-funded Design Study (KM3NeT) provides substantial resources for an intense 3-year R&D phase (2006-09).
  - Major objective: Technical Design Report by early 2009.
  - We hope for the next step: "Preparatory Phase" in FP7 (2008-2010).