

FUNK GROUP HANDBOOK



Welcome

You recently joined the Funk group in the Department of Physics at FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg in the Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle physics (ECAP). That's great! We're really glad to have you here and will do what we can to make your time in the group amazing. We hope you'll learn a lot about physics and astroparticle physics, develop new skills (coding, data analysis, writing, giving talks), make new friends, and have a substantial amount of fun throughout the whole process.

This group manual was motivated by this [article](#). Its content was inspired by several others, and borrows heavily from them (e.g., [this one](#) and [this one](#)). It's also a work in progress. If you have ideas about things to add, or what to clarify, talk to me (Stefan, the PI) or one of my colleagues at ECAP.

When you join the group, you're expected to read this manual. You're also highly encouraged to read it while deciding if you want to join the group in the first place. You should always feel free to

talk to Stefan to clarify anything in the group manual and let him know if he isn't following through on some of the promises in the manual! This manual is intended to be a starting point for a positive mentor-mentee and group experience — but, ultimately, positive experiences will also require active investment in, and refinement of, our one-on-one interactions over time.

Expectations and Responsibilities

1) Everyone

Big Picture

Science is hard. But it's also fun. In the Funk group, we want to make sure that everyone experiences a positive, engaging, hostility-free, challenging, and rewarding environment. To maintain that environment, we all have to do a few things.

- Work on what you're passionate about, work hard at it, and be proud of it. Be so proud of it that you have to suppress bragging about what you are doing.
- Our goal is to do science and to publish our work. This is how we give back to society.
- It takes work to carefully design and analyse data or design an measurement in the laboratory. Before diving in, have a chat with Stefan or your direct supervisor about other considerations that may be specific to your study, such as trials or systematic errors. Careful study design is key, and Stefan is always happy to look over your plans with you.
- Scientists have to be careful and precise. Don't rush your work. Think about it. Implement it. Double and triple check it. Incorporate sanity checks. Ask others to look at your code or data if you need help or something looks off. It's ok to makes mistakes, but mistakes shouldn't be because of carelessness or rushed work.
- If you do make a mistake, you should definitely tell your collaborators (if they have already seen the results, and especially if the paper is being written up, is already submitted, or already accepted). We admit our mistakes, and then we correct them and move on. We should avoid them but if they happen we deal with it together.
- We all want to get papers published. But we do this honestly. It is never ok to plagiarise, tamper with data, make up data, omit data, or fudge results in any way. Science is about finding out the truth, and null results are still important. Unexpected results can be the seed of something really interesting. Therefore, this can't be emphasised enough: no research misconduct!
- Familiarise yourself with the *Guidelines for good research practice*, e.g. [by DFG](#) and [by FAU](#).
- Support your fellow group members. Help them out if they need help (even if you aren't on the project), and let them vent when they need to. Science is collaborative, not competitive. Help others, and you can expect others to help you when you need it.
- If you're struggling, tell someone! Feel free to tell Stefan. Your health and happiness come first. The group looks out for the well-being of all its members. We are here to help. It's ok to go through hard patches (we all do), but you shouldn't feel shy about asking for help or just venting.

- Respect your fellow group members. Respect their strengths and weaknesses, respect their desire for quiet if they need it, and for support and a kind ear when they need that. Respect their differences, respect their culture, their religion, their beliefs, their sexual orientation.
- If there is any tension or hostility in the group, something has to be done about it immediately. We can't thrive in an environment we aren't comfortable in, and disrespect or rudeness will not be tolerated in the group. If you don't feel comfortable confronting the person in question, tell Stefan. In any case, tell Stefan.
- If you have a problem with Stefan and are comfortable telling him about it, do! If you aren't comfortable, then tell a colleague in ECAP (for smaller issues) or a member of the FAU conflict resolution team (for more serious issues).
- Stay up to date on the latest research, by getting journal table of contents and by reading publications. Attend the department colloquium and the ECAP seminars, even for topic which seem far away from what you are working on.
- Have a life outside of the lab, take care of your mental and physical health, and don't ever feel bad for taking time off work. Talk to me if you are struggling to balance work and life.

Small Picture

There are a few day-to-day things to keep in mind to keep the group running smoothly.

- If you're sick, stay home and take care of yourself. Because you need it, and also because others don't need to get sick. If you're sick, reschedule your meetings and participants for the day (or the next couple of days) as soon as you can.
- You aren't expected to come into lab on weekends and holidays, and you aren't expected to stay late at night. You are expected to get your work done (whatever time of day you like to do it). It is helpful to obey core hours (9-17) so interaction can take place.
- Show up to your meetings, You do not have to be in at 9am every day – just show up for your commitments, and work the hours you need to work to get stuff done.
- Keep the lab and your office tidy. No eating in the lab. Put lab equipment back where you found it. Keep common areas uncluttered.
- I respond usually well to emails or to chat on rocket chat or on slack
- Don't bring your laptop to group meetings if it can be avoided. If you have to do work don't do it during group meetings. Keep your mobile phone in your pocket during meetings.

2) Principle Investigator (Stefan)

All of the above, and in addition I will support you by

- giving you feedback on a timely basis, including feedback on project ideas, conference talks and posters, manuscripts, figures, grants etc.

- being available in person and via email on a regular basis, including regular meetings to discuss your research (and anything else you'd like to discuss)
- giving you my perspective on where the group is heading, where the field is going, and tips about surviving and thriving in academia
- supporting your career development by introducing you to other researchers in the field, promoting your work at talks, writing recommendation letters for you, and letting you attend conferences as finances permit
- Make sure your position is financed by writing grant proposals and acquiring funding.
- helping you prepare for the next step of your career, whether it's a post-doc, a faculty job, or a job outside of academia
- Care for your emotional and physical well-being, and prioritise that.

3) Postdocs and full-term staff

The postdoc stage is marked by a transition to increasing independence.

Postdocs spend the majority of time on their own research, whilst also collaborating on a broader range of projects. Postdocs often have several further responsibilities, including sitting on committees, student supervision, and teaching. Postdocs may also be asked to stand in for PIs when required, and help with or lead grant applications. All of the points mentioned in 1) apply, and in addition

- Develop your own independent line of research
- Help train and mentor students in the group (both undergraduate and graduate) when they need it – either because they ask, or because I ask you to
- Present your work at departmental events, in other groups (if invited), and at conferences
- Apply for grants (e.g., DFG Sachbeihilfe, ERC, EU Horizon). Though I will only hire you if I can support you for at least one and a half years, it's in your best interest to get experience writing grants – and if you get them, you'll be helping out the entire group as well as yourself (because you'll free up funds previously allocated to you)
- For postdocs: apply for jobs (academic or otherwise) when you're ready, but no later than the beginning of your 4th year of post-doc. If you think you'd like to leave academia, that's completely ok – but you should still treat your post-doc seriously, and talk to me about how to best train for a job outside academia
- Challenge me (Stefan) when I'm wrong or when your opinion is different, and treat the rest of the group to your unique expertise.
- Know the literature related to your topic!

4) Graduate students

All of the points mentioned in 1), and in addition

- Develop your own independent line of research. Your dissertation should have at least 2 substantial parts that demonstrate that you did novel, meaningful, independent research. Much of your work has to be done independently, but remember that others in the group and in ECAP (especially Stefan and your PhD committee!) are there to help you when you need it
- Help mentor undergraduate students in the lab when they need it – either because they ask, or because I ask you to.
- Present your work at departmental events, at other groups (if invited), and at conferences
- Apply for grants (e.g., DFG Sachbeihilfe). It's a valuable experience, and best to get it early.
- Think about what you want for your career (academia – research or teaching, industry, science writing, something else), and talk to Stefan about it to make sure you're getting the training you need for that career
- Prioritise time for research on your project. General service work at ECAP or teaching are important, but ultimately your research gets you your PhD and prepares you for the next stage of your career.
- Know the literature connected to your topic!

5) Undergraduate students

All of the points mentioned in 1), and in addition

- Develop your weekly schedule by talking to your graduate student mentor or your post-doc mentor. You should be coming in every week, and scheduling enough time to get your work done (in particular during Master and Bachelor theses - these are full-time jobs)
- attend group meetings and regularly present your work.

Code of Conduct

Essential Policies

The group, ECAP, and the university, is an environment that must be free of harassment and discrimination. All group members are expected to abide by ECAP's code of conduct and by FAU's policies on discrimination and harassment, which you can (and should!) read about.

ECAP is committed to ensuring a safe, friendly, and accepting environment for everybody. We will not tolerate any verbal or physical harassment or discrimination on the basis of gender, gender identity and expression, sexual orientation, disability, physical appearance, body size, race, or religion. We will not tolerate intimidation, stalking, following, unwanted photography or video recording, sustained disruption of talks or other events, inappropriate physical contact, and unwelcome sexual attention. Finally, it should go without saying that lewd language and behaviour have no place in the group, including any group outings.

If you notice someone being harassed, or are harassed yourself, tell Stefan immediately. If Stefan is the cause of your concern, then reach out to other ECAP faculty, to the department chair or another trusted faculty member in the department.

Taking Photos & Videos

We respect the privacy and comfort of group members by only taking photos or video recordings of them with their explicit knowledge and consent. This is especially important in situations where a group member would otherwise not be aware of you taking a photo and therefore cannot object if they do not want you to – e.g., if they are wearing one of our VR headsets or are being scanned. To avoid ambiguity about when a group member is vs is not aware of photos being taken, we ask that everyone obtain consent from group members before taking photos or videos, and obtain consent again before posting any images on social media. This is done to respect others' privacy and acknowledge that people have varying degrees of comfort related to being photographed and especially with having those photographs shared on social media.

The goal of this is to foster an environment where everyone feels safe to be who they are, take risks, and have fun, without worry or self-consciousness. If someone wants to be photographed doing something fun or silly in group events, and consents to be photographed, it is fine of course. Just please respect the privacy of those who do not want that.

Scientific Integrity

Research (Mis)conduct

The group, ECAP and FAU, are committed to ensuring research integrity, and we take a hard line on research misconduct. We will not tolerate fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism. Read [DFG's](#) and [FAU's](#) policies on the conduct of research carefully.

A big problem is why people feel the need to engage in misconduct in the first place, and that's a discussion that we can have. If you are feeling pressured to succeed (publish a lot, publish in high impact journals), you should reach out to Stefan and we can talk about it – this pressure is something we all face and is never an excuse to fabricate, falsify, or plagiarise. Also, think about the goal of science and why you are here: you're here to arrive at the truth, to get as close as we can to facts about the universe. Not only is research misconduct doing you a disservice, it's also a disservice to the field. And it risks your entire career. It is never right and never worth it. Don't do it.

Reproducible Research

If you gave someone else your raw data, they should be able to reproduce your results exactly. This is critical, because if they can't reproduce your results, it suggests that one (or both) of you has made errors in the analysis, and the results can't be trusted. Reproducible research is an essential part of science, and an expectation for all projects in the lab.

For results to be reproducible, the analysis pipeline must be organised and well documented. To meet these goals, you should take extensive notes on each step of your analysis pipeline. This means writing down how you did things every step of the way (and the order that you did things), from any pre-processing of the data, to running models, to statistical tests. It's also worth mentioning that you should take detailed notes on your experimental design as well. Additionally, your code should also be commented, and commented clearly. We all know what it's like to sit down, quickly write a bunch of code to run an analysis without taking time to comment it, and then having no idea what we did a few months down the road. Comment your code so that every step is understandable by an outsider. Finally, it is highly encouraged that you use some form of version control (e.g., Git in combination with GitHub) to keep track of what code changes you made and when you made them, as well as sharing code with others.

Authorship

Like many other labs, we will follow the APA guidelines with respect to authorship:

"Authorship credit should reflect the individual's contribution to the study. An author is considered anyone involved with initial research design, data collection and analysis, manuscript drafting, and final approval. However, the following do not necessarily qualify for authorship: providing funding or resources, mentorship, or contributing research but not helping with the publication itself. The primary author assumes responsibility for the publication, making sure that the data are accurate, that all deserving authors have been credited, that all authors have given their approval to the final draft; and handles responses to inquiries after the manuscript is published."

For collaborative papers, we usually list authors in alphabetical order. For small-author list papers the following applies: at the start of a new project, the student or post-doc taking on the lead role can expect to be first author (talk to Stefan about it if you aren't sure). Stefan will typically be the last author, unless the project is primarily under the guidance of another PI – then Stefan will be second to last and the main PI will be last. Students and post-docs who help over the course of the project may be added to the author list depending on their contribution, and their placement will be discussed with parties involved in the paper. If a student or post-doc takes on a project but subsequently hands it off to another student or post-doc, they will most likely lose first-authorship to that student or post-doc, unless co-first-authorship is appropriate. All of these issues will be discussed openly, and you should feel free to bring them up if you are not sure of your authorship status or want to challenge it.

